



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/542,571

07/19/2005

Hiromu Ueshima

100341-00062

7038

4372

7590

11/18/2008

ARENT FOX LLP

1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.

SUITE 400

WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

D'AGOSTINO, PAUL ANTHONY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3714

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/18/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com

IPMatters@arentfox.com

Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/542,571	Applicant(s) UESHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Paul A. D'Agostino	Art Unit 3714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 August 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 04/000,441.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This responds to Applicant's Arguments/Remarks filed 08/14/2008.

Claims 1, 6, 12-13, and 16 have been amended. Claim 20 has been newly added. Claims 1-20 are now pending in this application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/14/2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection. Thus, the rejection of Claims 1-15 is withdrawn.
3. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection. Thus, the rejection of Claims 16-19 is withdrawn.
4. For clarity, Examiner has gathered all the "incorporated therein" and brought all open issues forward to this Office Action. If anything has been omitted that Applicant feels should be part of this Office Action it was

Art Unit: 3714

unintentional. Please bring any concerns to the attention of the Examiner for resolution.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- a. Claim 1, Page 3, Line 7, change "o" to – of --.
- b. Claim 20, Page 10, Line 12, change ")d)" to – (d) --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that

Art Unit: 3714

the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 1-10 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0171142 to Kaji et al. (Kaji) of record in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,047,085 to Sato et al. (Sato).

In Reference to Claim 1, 12, 16, 18, and 20

Kaji discloses an entertainment apparatus and method using cards for obtaining inputs from a plurality of cards on each of which a visually human-identifiable design is printed and performing information processing in accordance with the inputs [0014], comprising:

a card photographing part (Fig. 2 “terminal apparatus” 16a) for setting said card in a predetermined position (System and method perform this intended use);

a photographic device that is configured to photograph said design of said card that is set at said predetermined position in said card photographing part and to fetch a photographic pixel data array ([0015, 0020]);

Art Unit: 3714

a database including a plurality of entries individually corresponding to said plurality of cards, each of the entries including a pair of a card ID and a comparison data array ([0024]);

a card identifier for searching said database for a specific comparison data array based on said photographic pixel data array and obtaining a card ID pairing up with the specific comparison data array and an information processor for performing said information processing with said card ID obtained by said card identifier as an input ([0011, 0202, 0136]);

wherein the photographic device includes an image sensor (Fig. 3 and [0153] for photographing the design and outputting a photographic signal (System and method perform this intended use);

a data array former ([0187-0192]) for sampling the photographic signal and forming a data array (System and method disclose this intended use), and

a photographic pixel data array former (said data array former discloses the formation of data for calculation of points on the image sensed for computation and therefore necessarily must include a data array former) for re-sampling the data array and forming the photographic pixel data array and forming the photographic pixel data array and said photographic pixel array (System and method perform these intended uses),

wherein the data array formed by the data array former is constituted by a plurality of pixel data ([0184-0185]), and

wherein the photographic pixel data array formed by the photographic

Art Unit: 3714

pixel data array former is constituted by a plurality of [f] photographic pixel data ([0184-0185]).

{a storage medium that is readable by a processor of a card identifying apparatus and stores an identifying program by which a plurality of cards on each of which is visually human-identifiable design is printed can be identified, said program implementing the above steps ([0013-0014, 0047]).

However, Kaji is silent wherein the photographic pixel data array former sequentially extracts a predetermined number of pixel data of pixels adjacent to each other in an image represented by the photographic signal from the plurality of pixel data constituting the data array while the extracted pixel data are changed sequentially, and produces said single photographic pixel data based upon the extracted predetermined number of pixel data every time the predetermined number of pixel data is extracted.

Sato concerns an analogous image identifying apparatus and methods using averaging of secondary patterns (Title and Col. 1 Lines 18-21) wherein pixel data sequentially extracts a predetermined number of pixel data of pixels adjacent to each other in an image represented by the photographic signal from the plurality of pixel data constituting the data array (Fig. 11 wherein 70 sequential adjacent pixels are extracted) while the extracted pixel data are changed sequentially (Figs. 12 where weight factors are applied, equivalent to Applicant's averaging), and produces said single photographic pixel data based upon the extracted predetermined number of pixel data every time the predetermined number of pixel data is extracted (Fig. 13). Sato provides this

Art Unit: 3714

system and method in order to “provide an image identifying apparatus capable of identifying color images and patterns with precision and speed” (Col. 1 Lines 4-7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the averaging secondary pattern as taught by Sato into the teachings of Kaji in order to provide image identification with precision and speed.

In Reference to Claims 2-5, 17, and 19

Kaji teaches wherein said data array former samples said photographic signal at a first resolution ([0193]), and said photographic pixel data array former re-samples said data array at a second resolution which is lower than said first resolution (Kaji teaches of making the resolution course in general in order to save time ([0196-0199]), and said comparison data array includes comparison data corresponding to said second resolution (“pattern matching process ([0200])).

Kaji teaches of a card identifier and of calculating a distance between said photographic pixel data array and said comparison data array, and obtains the card ID of the entry ([0011]) with the comparison data array at the shortest distance ([0201]).

Kaji teaches the distance is a sum total of squares of differentials between the respective elements of said photographic pixel data array and the corresponding elements of said comparison data array ([0188-0192]). Kaji

Art Unit: 3714

discloses the claimed invention except for wherein the distance is a sum total of absolute values of differentials. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to try other computational methods, since the Examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of sum total of absolute values and sum total of squares methods for their use in the image identification art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to image identification would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

In Reference to Claim 6

Kaji as modified by Sato discloses a system substantially equivalent to Applicant's claimed invention. However, Kaji is not explicit about single pixel data array by calculating a sum while weights are assigned.

Sato teaches of calculating a sum of a predetermined number of pixel data (Figs. 11-13) wherein weights are assigned in order to "provide an image identifying apparatus capable of identifying color images and patterns with precision and speed" (Col. 1 Lines 4-7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the averaging secondary pattern as taught by Sato into the teachings of Kaji in order to provide image identification with precision and speed.

In Reference to Claim 7

Kaji teaches of a card identifier and of a threshold value determination for

Art Unit: 3714

determining whether or not said sum total of differentials is larger than a predetermined threshold value ([0203]) and excludes any entry with said sum total of differentials larger than said predetermined threshold value from identification candidates ([0204 -0213])

In Reference to Claim 8

Kaji teaches of a card identifier and of a number- of-candidates determiner for determining a total number of candidates which are left as a result of determination by said threshold value determiner, and does not obtain any card ID when it is determined by said number-of-candidates determiner that the number of candidates is "0", and obtains the card ID of the identification candidate when it is determined that the number of candidates is "1" ([0215, 011, 0202]).

In Reference to Claim 9

Kaji teaches a second database including one or more entries, each of the entries including a plurality of candidate card IDs and one determination card ID, wherein said card identifier includes a number-of-candidates determiner for determining whether two or more said identification candidates are left or not, searches said second database for an entry in which there is a match between a combination of card IDs of the left candidates and a combination of said candidate card IDs in said second database when it is determined by said

Art Unit: 3714

number-of-candidates determination that the number of candidates is "two or more" and, if there exists any matching entry ([0387 and 0388]).

In Reference to Claim 10

Kaji teaches wherein said the database includes card data corresponding to each entry, and said information processor includes a card data displayer for displaying at least the design based on the card data of the entry corresponding to said card ID obtained by said card identifier ([0153]).

In Reference to Claim 13

Kaji teaches wherein a light source for indirectly irradiating light to a surface to be photographed of the card set in said card photographing part (0156]).

In Reference to Claim 14

Kaji teaches wherein a reflector for diffusely reflecting light from said light source and letting the light enter said surface to be photographed ([0020]).

In Reference to Claim 15

Kaji discloses wherein a photographing part cover for covering said card photographing part, the cover having a position correction mark on a surface opposite to said photographic device ([0022]); and a photographic pixel data fetching area corrector for correcting a fetching area of photographic pixel data

Art Unit: 3714

based on said position correction mark, wherein said photographic device photographs said position correction mark under a state where no card is set in said card photographing part ([0021]).

10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. to Kaji et al. (Kaji) U.S. Patent Pub No. in view of U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0028710 to Ishihara et al. (Ishihama).

Kaji as modified by Sato, teaches an entertainment apparatus as mention in claim 1 above but does not specifically disclose a cartridge connector, wherein said cartridge connector is equipped with a memory cartridge and the memory cartridge stores another database. However, Ishihara teaches a cartridge connector equipped with a memory cartridge and the memory cartridge stores another database ([0038]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the memory cartridge taught by Ishihara to the entertainment apparatus of Kaji as modified by Sato in order to hold lager amount of data representing the individual features of the game characters.

11. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0171142 to Kaji et al. (Kaji) of record and U.S. Patent No. 6,047,085 to Sato et al. (Sato) further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,006,693 to Shibuya (Shibuya) of record.

Kaji as modified by Sato discloses a system substantially equivalent to Applicant's claimed invention. However, Kaaji as modified by Sato, does not specifically disclose the distance as a sum total of absolute values of differentials between respective elements of said photographic pixel data array and corresponding elements of said comparison data array.

Shibuya teaches the distance is a sum total of absolute values (Col.2 Lines 16-18 and Col.4; Lines 64-67) in order to quickly and easily recognize the position, quantity, and kind of object in a recognition method (Col. 2 Lines 30-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the system and method as taught by Shibuya in the invention of Kaji as modified by Sato in order to quickly and easily recognize the position, quantity, and kind of object in a recognition method (Col. 2 Lines 30-31).

12. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimura et al. (US 5,644,765) of record in view of U.S. Patent No.6,047,085 to Sato et al. (Sato).

Shimura teaches a method of identifying a card by photographing a plurality of cards on each of which a visually human-identifiable design is printed, including steps of:

(a) preparing a database including a plurality of entries individually corresponding to said plurality of cards, each of the entries including a pair of a card ID and a comparison data array (Col.3; lines 17-26);

Art Unit: 3714

(b) photographing said design of said card being at a predetermined position of a photographing part by an image sensor and obtaining a photographic signal (Col.3 Lines 27-29);

(c) sampling said photographic signal at a first resolution and forming a data array (Co1.3 Lines 30-47);

(d) re-sampling said data array to form photographic pixel data array (Co1.3 Lines 30-47); and

(e) searching said database for a specific comparison data array based on said photographic pixel data array and obtaining the card ID pairing up with the specific comparison data array (Col. 4; Lines 26-43) wherein the data array formed in step (c) is constituted by a plurality of pixel data (Col. 3 Lines 30-47) and

wherein the photographic pixel data array in said step (d) is constituted by a plurality of photographic pixel data (Col. 3 Lines 30-47).

Shimura discloses a system substantially equivalent to Applicant's claimed invention. However, Shimura is silent wherein said step (d) includes (d1) sequentially extracting a predetermined number of pixel data of pixels adjacent to each other in an image represented by the photographic signal from the plurality of pixel data constituting the data array while the extracted pixel data are changed sequentially, and (d2) producing [[a]] said single photographic pixel data based upon the predetermined number of pixel data extracted by the step (d) at every time said step (d1) is executed, and (f) executing information processing according to the obtained card ID.

Art Unit: 3714

Sato concerns an analogous image identifying apparatus and methods using averaging of secondary patterns (Title and Col. 1 Lines 18-21) wherein pixel data sequentially extracts a predetermined number of pixel data of pixels adjacent to each other in an image represented by the photographic signal from the plurality of pixel data constituting the data array (Fig. 11 wherein 70 sequential adjacent pixels are extracted) while the extracted pixel data are changed sequentially (Figs. 12 where weight factors are applied, equivalent to Applicant's averaging), and produces said single photographic pixel data based upon the extracted predetermined number of pixel data every time the predetermined number of pixel data is extracted (Fig. 13). Sato provides this system and method in order to "provide an image identifying apparatus capable of identifying color images and patterns with precision and speed" (Col. 1 Lines 4-7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the averaging secondary pattern as taught by Sato into the teachings of Shimura in order to provide image identification with precision and speed.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 8/14/2008 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new rounds for rejection.

14. Applicant argues ((see Applicant's Arguments/Remarks pages 12-20) the methods of Kija and Shimura are overcome given that Applicant's method treats

Art Unit: 3714

the data array as a pixel block and compares this with the database. Sato is introduced as the method of Sato is similar in that a secondary pattern is compared to a standard pattern rather than a pixel by pixel comparison.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is provided in the Notice of References Cited.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. D'Agostino whose telephone number is (571)270-1992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Art Unit: 3714

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John M Hotaling II/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/Paul A. D'Agostino/
Examiner, Art Unit 3714